



## Nullify Unconstitutional Wars With New Hampshire Bill HB 104

**URGENT:** The House [State-Federal Relations and Veterans Affairs Committee](#) has scheduled a hearing for HB 104 (the “Defend the Guard Act”) on Friday, January 17, at 1:30 p.m. Eastern Time in Legislative Office Building 206-208.

**The John Birch Society encourages all New Hampshire residents to submit remote testimony to the committee in support of HB 104. To do so, please [click here](#) and follow the directions.**

**Furthermore, please contact the individual committee members ([listed here](#)), and urge them to defend state sovereignty by supporting HB 104.**

Members of the New Hampshire General Court are seeking to enact legislation nullifying unconstitutional federal deployments of the New Hampshire National Guard.

House Bill 104 ([HB 104](#)) is titled the “Defend the Guard Act.” It is [sponsored](#) by Representative Tom Mannion (R-Hillsborough), nine other representatives, and one senator.

HB 104 would prevent combat deployments of the New Hampshire National Guard by the federal government in the absence of a congressional declaration of war or another constitutional reason in accordance with [Article I, Section 8, Clauses 11 and 15](#), of the U.S. Constitution.

According to the [Tenth Amendment Center](#), more than 1.1 million National Guard troops may have been sent to foreign conflicts since 2001, and 45 percent of the total U.S. forces sent to Iraq and Afghanistan have been National Guard or Reserve troops. If New Hampshire and other states prohibit unconstitutional National Guard

# The John Birch Society

Author: [Peter Rykowski](#)

Date: January 13, 2025



deployments, the federal government's participation in these foreign conflicts would be severely hampered, therefore limiting the federal government's ability to further entangle the U.S. in any undeclared wars overseas.

Such a limitation on the federal government's ability to carry out an internationalist and interventionist foreign policy would be consistent with the wisdom of the Founding Fathers. In his [1796 Farewell Address](#), President George Washington affirmed, "It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliances with any portion of the foreign world." President Thomas Jefferson reiterated the same policy in his [first inaugural address](#), advocating for "peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all nations, entangling alliances with none."

HB 104 is an excellent application of [Article VI](#) of the U.S. Constitution, [which states](#) "[t]his Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof ... shall be the supreme Law of the Land."

**Since the federal government's engagement in endless, undeclared wars — subversion going back decades — violates the U.S. Constitution, it cannot be considered "in Pursuance thereof" and, thus, is not "the supreme Law of the Land."** Unfortunately, over the last several decades, thousands of unconstitutional laws on the federal, state, and even local levels have been created and enforced.

Because of this, state legislatures have a duty to [rein in unconstitutional wars](#) and robustly enforce the Constitution and only those laws and actions "in Pursuance thereof." HB 104 is an excellent model for other states to follow.

**Urge your state senator to support the "Defend the Guard Act" (HB 104) and to push back against all other unconstitutional laws at every level of government.**

How States Can Rein in Unconstitutional Wars